# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT California

**Annual Financial Report** 

Year Ended June 30, 2018

### **CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT**

### **Table of Contents**

NDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT1-:	2
IANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (unaudited)	
Required Supplementary Information, as prepared by management3-1	1
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statements of Net Position	3
Statements of Revenue, Expenses, and Change in Net Position	4
Statements of Cash Flows	6
IOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	6
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	
and Schedule of Contributions:	
Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan	8
Single Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan	0
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (unaudited)	
Budgetary Comparison Information4	1

## MARCELLO & COMPANY CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Post Office Box 60127 / Sacramento, California 95860

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors Carmel Area Wastewater District Carmel, California

#### Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of the Carmel Area Wastewater District, Carmel, California (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. The prior year comparative information has been derived from the District's 2017 financial statements and, in our report dated September 29, 2017, we expressed an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the business-type activities.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based upon our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Board of Directors and Members Carmel Area Wastewater District Carmel, California

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities of the Carmel Area Wastewater District, as of June 30, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, pension schedules and schedules of funding progress as listed in the table of contents be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historic context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information or provide any assurance.

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Carmel Area Wastewater District's basic financial statements. The budgetary comparison information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The budgetary comparison information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Marcello & Company

Certified Public Accountants Sacramento, California September 28, 2018

### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

As Prepared by Management (unaudited)

The following discussion and analysis are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and is intended to provide an easily readable explanation of the information provided in the attached basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018. We encourage readers to read the information presented here in conjunction with our financial statements, which follow this narrative.

#### Financial Highlights

Key financial highlights for 2017-18 are as follows:

- The District's net position increased by 7.1% or \$5.0M to \$76.7M. Total Operating revenues increased 6.2% or \$537K over the prior year. Sewer user fees, the District's primary source of operating revenue, increased 9.4% or \$641K over the prior year. The rate model projected an 8.6% increase in residential rates overwhelmingly the District's largest user category. The increases are reflective of the District's continued efforts to fund its capital improvement and rehabilitation efforts. Pebble Beach Community Services District (PBCSD) treatment fees decreased by 10.2% or \$141K over prior year. Flow from Del Monte Forest decreased to 31.2% compared with 34.6% in 2017-18. Reimbursement from the Reclamation Project for O&M expenses increased 6.6% or \$34K due to increased time spent on maintenance efforts at the MF/RO pad.
- Total non-operating revenues decreased 13.7% or \$673K over the prior year primarily due to a reduction in reimbursement from other agencies for capital costs. Property tax revenue increased 6.4% or \$117K over the prior year. Investment income increased 95.4% or \$190K over the prior year. Connection fees increased 587.1% or \$25K from the prior year. Reimbursement of capital costs from PBCSD decreased 43.9% or \$917K and from Reclamation it decreased 23.5% or \$131K.
- Total operating expenses (excluding depreciation) increased 3.5% or \$200K over the prior year reflecting a focus on completion of the Phase I capital improvement project rather than the considerable prior focus on repair and rehabilitation around the facility.
- Non-operating expenses decreased 13.6% or \$10K from the prior year due to lower interest earnings and fiscal expenses.
- Total Current Assets increased 6.9% or \$1.8M over the prior year. This was primarily due to an increase in Cash and Investments of \$2.4M.
- Total Current Liabilities decreased 61.6% over the prior year primarily due to a decrease in Accounts Payable. Trade payables are down because of both timing issues and because we are no longer in the midst of Phase I of our Capital Improvement program. The Deferred Revenue represents CCLEAN program revenues the District became lead agency effective July 1, 2017.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

This annual report consists of three parts – management's discussion and analysis (*this section*), the basic financial statements, and other supplementary information. The Financial Statements include Notes which explain in detail some of the information included in the basic financial statements. They are followed by a section of *Supplementary Information* that further explains and supports information in the financial statements.

#### **Required Financial Statements**

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements consist of three components:

- The *Statements of Net Position* present information on all the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position.
- The Statements of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Net Position present information showing how the District's net position changed during the two most recent fiscal years.
- The Statements of Cash Flows present information showing how the District's cash changed during the two most recent fiscal years. It shows the sources and uses of cash.

The District's financial statements utilize the full accrual basis of accounting whereby revenue is recognized when it is earned, and expenses are recognized as they are incurred. The District's accounting methods follow accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and as applied to governmental enterprise funds.

#### **Financial Analysis of the District**

The Statements of Net Position and the Statements of Revenue, Expenses and Changes in Net Position provide an indication of the District's financial condition. The District's net position reflects the difference between assets and liabilities. An increase in net position over time typically indicates an improvement in financial condition.

A summary of net position is presented below:

	2018	2017		2016
Assets				
Current Assets	\$ 27,804,237	\$ 25,998,204	\$	25,348,793
Capital Assets net of				
depreciation	50,337,616	48,163,109		43,608,250
Bond issue costs	 -0-	-0-		-0-
Total Assets	\$ 78,141,853	\$ 74,161,313	\$	68,957,043
Deferred Outflow of				
Resources	 950,959	992,009	<del>-</del>	1,021,125
Total Assets & deferred				
outflow of resources	\$ 79,092,812	 75,153,322	\$	69,978,168

r ( ) 111.1 82					
Liabilities					
Current Liabilities	\$ 625,186	\$	1,629,504	\$	1,415,553
Long-term vac/sick pay	133,271		117,790		127,516
Net pension liability	(207,127)		(370,040)		(515,387)
Long-term debt	1,090,000		1,240,000		1,385,000
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	\$ 1,641,330	\$	2,617,254	\$	2,412,682
Deferred Inflow of					
Resources	 707,184	-	850,879	_	1,251,145
<b>Total Liabilities &amp;</b>					
<b>Deferred Inflow</b>	\$ 2,348,514	\$	3,468,133	\$	3,663,827
let Position					<u>1</u>
Invested in capital assets,					
net of related debt	49,097,616		46,778,109		42,083,250
Restricted	-0-		-0-		-0-
Unrestricted	27,646,682		24,907,080		24,231,091
Total net position	\$ 76,744,298	\$	71,685,189	\$	66,314,341
<b>Total Liabilities &amp; Net</b>					
Position	\$ 79,092,812	\$	75,153,322	\$	69,978,168

### CAWD Net Position Comparison June 30, 2016 to 2018



The District's cash balances are segregated by purpose, as approved by the Board, as follows:

<b>Designation of Cash Balances</b>	<b>Amount</b>		
Capital Reserves	\$	8,680,592	
Current Year O&M (2017-18)	13,326,66		
Current Year Capital (2017-18)	2,628,19		
Rate Stabilization Fund		644,682	
LAIF Investment Fund		1,131,938	
Defend or Managed Retreat Reserve	500,000		
Compensated Accruals Fund		157,053	
Total	\$	27,069,122	

After formally accepting the asset management plan prepared for the Treatment plant in April 2013 the District moved forward with implementation of the first phase. The original plan estimated the District will need to spend \$30M over the next fifteen years on plant rehabilitation — this is exclusive of engineering costs and construction management. The District has subsequently carried the long term plan out each year to maintain the fifteen-year time horizon. The long-range total required for the treatment plant remains \$40M. We have already initiated planning for Phase II of our plant rehabilitation.

The current long-term capital plan is for the Treatment Plant only – staff is currently working on producing a similar plan for the Collection System. We currently maintain a five-year plan; but are working on extending it to 15 years to meet the requirements of the Regional Board. The State General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems (Order No. 2006-0003) requires that we establish a proactive approach to ensure that a system-wide operation, maintenance, and management plan is in place to reduce the number and frequency of sanitary sewer overflows. We know that some of our underground assets are nearing the end of their lifespan and we are also examining capacity issues throughout the system.

The result of the District's long-term capital planning is that we are committed to spending, at a minimum, \$1M per year in Collections and we are committed to spending an estimated \$40M+ at the Treatment Plant over the next 15 years. The District's initial plan was to fund the entire Long-Term Capital Improvement Plan via pay-as-you-go. The Board felt that rather than burden future ratepayers it was appropriate to assess current users and therefore authorized the plan to ramp up user fees, on average, 10% per year until the target funding level was met. Currently we are examining our long-range cash flow projections and it is evident that we will have to decide about potential borrowing if we are to complete all planned rehabilitation work. At the Treatment Plant we are planning on three major rehabilitation projects phases – we've started design on Phase II. In the Collection Department we are attempting to first update our planning documents and then we will commit to one major line replacement project per year. It is an ambitious plan to be sure.

Phase I is of our Capital Rehabilitation at the plant was front-loaded because of the critical systems slated for replacement and rehab. Part of our decision going forward will be how we space the timing of Phase II and Phase III. As indicated, Phase II pre-design was initiated in 2017-18 and we plan to go into design in 2018-19. Phase II will include:

June 30, 2018

- Influent Pump Station design of new low-flow pumps
- Headwords design new automatic bar screens that include wash press. Replace existing grit washing unit and grit tank collector mechanism. Replace MCC panel and PLC.
- Chlor/Dechlor Building rehabilitation to relocate chemical sampling/analytical facilities
- Plant Water (3W) Pump Station Controls
- Effluent pumps evaluate option to improve operations
- Digester demolition and new sludge holding tank

The Phase I project design and construction management was performed by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants. We continued to utilize Kennedy/Jenks Consultants for the pre-design on Phase II and anticipate utilizing them for the design phase.

A summary of Changes in Net Position is presented below:

	2018	2017	2016
Changes in Net Position:			
Operating revenues	\$ 9,233,334	\$ 8,696,097	\$ 7,732,237
Nonoperating revenues	4,252,477	4,925,821	5,233,349
total revenues	13,485,811	13,621,918	12,965,586
Operating expenses	5,945,298	5,745,006	7,087,189
Nonoperating expenses	67,003	77,579	84,453
Depreciation expense	2,414,401	2,428,485	2,392,750
total expenses	8,426,702	8,251,070	9,564,392
Income before Cap Contributions Capital Contribution	5,059,109	5,370,848	3,401,194
Change in net position	5,059,109	5,370,848	3,401,194
Net position, beginning Restatements	\$ 71,685,189	\$ 66,314,341	\$ 62,913,147
Net position, ending	\$ 76,744,298	\$ 71,685,189	\$ 66,314,341

The District's strategy for rate-setting has consistently been to cover all operating expenses with operating revenue and to utilize non-operating revenue (i.e. property taxes and interest revenues) to cover capital and other non-operating expenses. The District does not factor depreciation into its rate structure. However, since 2012-13 we have entered an amount for Capital Replacement gradually building to \$1.3M per year in 2018-19. This funding combined with our property tax revenue means that the District has roughly \$3M coming in each year for capital replacement and rehabilitation. We have a long-term capital plan for the treatment plant of roughly \$40M over the next 15 years and for the collection system of roughly \$60M over the next 20 years. And there is one more piece to our long-term plan: sea level rise. We have established a dedicated reserve to fund sea level rise mitigation that may range from relatively simple water proofing efforts to moving facilities. We believe we have at minimum another 30 years at our current location. After that we believe much depends on the planning that we are doing now.

June 30, 2018

The District's net position increased by \$5.0M or 7.1% for many reasons. Operating revenues increased 6.2% or \$537K but nonoperating revenues decreased more than double that by 13.7% or \$673K. Operating Expenses increased 3.5% or \$200K with the result that income before any capital contribution decreased 5.8% or \$311K. The District's assets exceeded liabilities by \$76MM as of June 30, 2018. Net position, over time, may serve as a useful indicator of an entity's financial position.

The District's operations continue to be strong enough to support our plan to "pay-as-you-go" for our Treatment Plant Rehabilitation. We intend to utilize "pay-as-you-go" for the \$8-9M Phase II rehabilitation of our Treatment Plant. We have applied for two \$1M grants through FEMA/OES for flood mitigation of our Outfall/Calle la Cruz line and our Hatton Canyon line. We believe we are positioned to pay cash for the first five years of our Collection System long term plan. In short, we see paying cash as offering greater freedom. It offers greater freedom to plan and build what we want and need; however, it requires considerable planning. We recognize that we may not be able to continue to pay cash indefinitely; but our Board of Directors had taken the position for now of not taking on debt.

#### **Capital Assets Activity**

In addition to the major Long-Term Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) project under design by Kennedy/Jenks Engineers there are multiple in-house projects the District is managing.

2017-18 significant asset additions include:

•	Larsen Field line repair	\$ 358,925
•	Outfall/Calle la Cruz Crossing	\$ 217,618
•	Hatton Canyon line replacement	\$ 169,355
•	CAT Track Loader	\$ 54,952

#### **Debt Service Activity**

In 2004, the District entered into an agreement with the Highlands Inn, the Tickle Pink Inn and the Highlands Sanitary Association to manage and obtain the necessary financing for them to construct a pipeline and connect to the District's treatment and collection facilities. The District obtained \$3,000,000 in the form of a 20-year bond as part of a pooled financing arrangement with the California Statewide Communities Development Authority Water & Wastewater Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A. In 2018 the District made a principal payment on the bond of \$145,000 and \$67,003 in interest. Prior year 2017 principal payment was \$140,000 with \$79,579 in interest. The Highlands Project bond is the District's only outstanding bond obligation; the District had no debt service obligations prior to 2004.

All debt service interest and principal payments are being repaid by the parties that benefit directly from the obligation. The Highlands Project Bond obligation is fully reimbursed by the Highlands Inn, the Tickle Pink Inn, and the Highlands Sanitary Association.

#### **Budget Highlights/Variances**

An annual budget is adopted by the CAWD Board of Directors each spring for the subsequent fiscal year. Budget information is reported to the Board and adjustments to the budget may only be made by resolution of the Board.

#### 2017-18 major variances can be described as follows:

- Sewer service fees were 6.2% or \$437K over budget the rate model provided for an additional \$1.3M to be allocated for future capital expenses.
- PBCSD treatment fees were 23.6% or \$236K over budget because of the increase in Treatment Plant expenses for continued rehabilitation of the plant. Flow from PBCSD decreased to 31.2% of total inflows to the plant.
- Total Operating revenues were 8.3% over budget in total attributable to user fees and PBCSD treatment fees.
- Maintenance department was 21.6% under budget. The District had a temporary Maintenance Superintendent for six months of the year which resulted in a slow-down in Maintenance work completed. The District Engineer performed double duty during this period. When the new position was filled we returned to our previous level of service.
- Collections department was 5.0% under budget. Salaries were 5.4% over budget, operating supplies 8.0% under budget, contract services 8.7% over budget, and repairs and maintenance was 1.3% over budget.
- The Treatment Plant was 15.8% under budget. Salaries were under budget 17.0%, employee benefits were under budget 31.3% and general and administrative expenses were 65.5% over budget.
- General & Administrative Expenses were 12.5% under budget. Salaries were under budget 3.2%, employee benefits were 27.6% under budget, and contract services were 29.4% under budget.
- Property tax revenue was 11.9% over budget or \$207K. The budget employed a conservative factor of 1.5%.
- Investment revenue was 179.0% over budget or \$250K. While interest rates have remained very low, we simply did not pay out funds on Phase I as quickly as anticipated.
- PBCSD capital reimbursements were 39.0% or \$750K less than anticipated, however as with CAWD expenditures, the capital payments to Anderson Pacific were slower to roll out than originally thought.

#### **Other Significant Matters**

• The District awarded an employee COLA for 2017-18 of 2.7%. During negotiations the District agreed to a two-year employee COLA of 3.0% for both the 2018-19 and 2019-20.

- The employee contribution to CalPERS for Classic members increased to 5% in 2017-18 and is slated to increase an additional 1% in each of the next two years so by 2019-29 employees will be paying their full portion.
- The annual lump sum CalPERS unfunded liability was \$7,110 for 2017-18 and is projected to increase to \$13,511 for 2018-19. The District can fund this completely from cash reserves.

#### Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget and Rates

The financial condition of the District remains remarkably solid considering the scope of our projected rehabilitation projects. Over 80.0% of the District's operating revenues are derived from wastewater user fees, which are subject to minimal economic volatility. In addition, the District receives an additional 13.3.8% of its operating revenue by contract from Pebble Beach Community Services District for the treatment of its sewage. That contract has been in effect since 1969 and is not anticipated to change significantly.

The District's design of Phase I – Long Term Capital Improvement Plan was completed in January 2018 with total change orders under 2%. With its completion we have started to plan and design Phase II which will include rehab and improvements to the Influent Bldg., the Headworks, and Effluent Bldg. We firmly believe that solid pre-planning is the key to success in capital project management. We planned intensively for Phase I and have are well into the same process for Phase II. Attention to detail is key to our success.

We continue to work on improvements in the Collection System. The Asset Management Study of the Collection System was completed this year and forms the foundation of our long-term plan to rehabilitate the system. We estimate that total costs of rehabilitation over the next 20 years is approximately \$40M. The study has segmented rehabilitation into high, medium, and low risk and will allow the District to progress methodically through the system. The District completed a similar analysis of the Treatment Plant in 2013 and utilizes it as the basis for planning Phase I and Phase II.

The District has engaged Environmental Science Associates (ESA) for a Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessment of the treatment plant and collection system. ESA was selected because of their extensive experience working in the lower Carmel River, their design of the Carmel Lagoon South Arm Restoration Project, their ability to provide coastal lagoon monitoring using their proprietary analytical methods of predicting water levels, and the experience of the team of scientists assigned to this project.

The District submitted an amendment to the Monterey County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (LHMP) that will enable us to participate in grant applications at the federal level. Initially it was thought that we would not be able to participate without buy-in from all County cities; however, we're happy to report that proved unnecessary from the federal perspective. The District can now participate in any potential grant funding with the only requirement that we participate with the County in future updates to the plan.

One of the first things we did with our participation in the LHMP was to apply for FEMA funding to move the District's outfall line under the lagoon. The Carmel River Free Project (CRFree) presents a potential liability to the District because the diversion of flows to the south arm could potentially dislodge the pipeline from its supporting piers. Moving the pipeline is critical to allowing the CRFree project to

move forward. The Big Sur Land Trust and the County have indicated that there is a very short widow for the District to complete its project moving the timeline – we're aiming to do the work in the summer of 2020. To that end we've applied for grant funding from FEMA that will enable us to move forward. A response to our application is anticipated in 2019.

Our second utilization of the LHMP and grant funding involves Hatton Canyon. The District has significant infrastructure running under its easement in Hatton Canyon that it will need to protect. In the past, State Parks has managed the roadway, but now due to its own budgetary pressures State Parks has indicated that the District will need to take responsibility. We had another sanitary sewer overflow on this line last year and recognize this as a high priority. We have applied to FEMA/CalOES for grant funding to repair/rehabilitate this line and make it considerably more robust and eliminate the impact of the streambed overflow. Again, a response to our application is anticipated in 2019.

Five years ago, we initiated a plan to increase the capital component of the rate model by \$250K each year over the next five years with the knowledge that we needed not only to cover operating cost increases, but we had significant capital rehabilitation requirements. We deferred any increase in 2015-16; but went back to the original plan in 2016-17. We remain optimistic that we can pay for a Phase II with cash. But we recognize that we face extraordinary challenges with the rehabilitation of the collection system and dealing with sea level rise. While we believe the challenges of sea level rise may be at least 50 years in the future we know that planning must begin now.

#### **Requests for Information**

This financial report is designed to provide an overview of the District's finances for those with an interest in this area. Questions concerning any of the information found in this report or requests for additional information should be directed to James Grover, Principal Accountant, P.O. Box 221428, Carmel, CA 93922 or grover@cawd.org.

### BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Statements of Net Position June 30, 2018 and 2017

Page 1 of 2

Assets	2018	for comparative purposes only 2017
Current Assets		
Cash and investments	\$ 27,069,122	\$ 24,578,189
Accounts receivable	133,109	107,224
Accounts receivable - affiliates	602,006	1,312,791
Total current assets	27,804,237	25,998,204
Noncurrent Assets Capital assets not being depreciated Capital assets, net of depreciation Total noncurrent assets	19,922,368 30,415,248 50,337,616	15,868,944 32,294,165 48,163,109
Total assets	78,141,853	74,161,313
Deferred Outflows of Resources		
Deferred pensions	950,959	992,009
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 79,092,812	\$ 75,153,322

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Statements of Net Position June 30, 2018 and 2017

Page 2 of 2

Liabilities and Net Position	2018	for comparative purposes only 2017
	-	- ASSESS - COOK
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable - trade	\$ 366,68	6 \$ 1,427,063
Accrued bond interest	16,27	5 18,178
Deferred revenue	47,80	1 -
Revenue bonds - current	150,00	0 145,000
Compensated absences - current	44,42	439,263
Total current liabilities	625,18	6 1,629,504
Noncurrent Liabilities  Amounts due in more than one year:		
Revenue bonds payable	1,090,00	0 1,240,000
Compensated absences	133,27	
Net pension liability (overfunded)	(207,12	
Total noncurrent liabilities	1,016,14	
Total liabilities	1,641,33	02,617,254_
Deferred Inflows of Resources		
Deferred pensions	707,18	4 850,879
Total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources	2,348,51	3,468,133
Net Position		
Net investment in capital assets	49,097,61	6 46,778,109
Unrestricted	27,646,68	2 24,907,080
Total net position	76,744,29	8 71,685,189
Total liabilities, deferred inflows, and net position	\$ 79,092,81	2 \$ 75,153,322

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Statements of Revenue, Expenses, and Change in Net Position Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

			for	r comparative
			p	urposes only
		2018		2017
Operating Revenue				
Sewer service fees	\$	7,432,554	\$	6,790,895
Treatment fees, PBCSD		1,236,025		1,377,192
Reclamation Project operating reimbursements		552,629		518,110
Permits and inspection fees		11,300		9,900
Other operating revenue		826		<b>-</b> %
Total operating revenue	1	9,233,334		8,696,097
Operating Expenses				
Maintenance - Field		46,070		109,594
Maintenance - Plant		1,114,003		1,025,421
Sewage collection		1,400,890		1,324,276
Sewage treatment and disposal		4,236,393		4,233,644
General and administrative		1,039,220		1,031,582
Reclamation Project expenses		503,169		448,974
Other operating expenses		19,954		_
Total operating expenses		8,359,699		8,173,491
Operating income (loss)	-	873,635		522,606
Nonoperating Revenue (Expenses)				
Property tax revenue		1,941,135		1,823,473
Investment earnings (loss)		390,633		199,913
Highlands Sewer Project revenue		218,522		220,010
Connection fees		29,897		4,351
PBSCD capital cost reimbursements		1,172,715		2,090,686
Reclamation Project capital cost reimbursements		425,442		556,458
Interest and fiscal expenses		(67,003)		(77,579)
Other nonoperating revenue	-	74,133		30,930
Total net nonoperating revenue		4,185,474	11	4,848,242
Change in Net Position		5,059,109		5,370,848
Net Position - beginning		71,685,189		66,314,341
Net Position - end of year	\$	76,744,298		71,685,189

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

Page 1 of 2

Cash Flows Provided By (Used For): Operating Activities	2018	for comparative purposes only 2017
Cash received from customers	\$ 9,966,035	\$ 8,286,739
Cash payments for operating supplies	(2,562,163)	(2,134,839)
Cash payments for personnel costs	(4,362,602)	(3,634,995)
Net cash provided (used)	3,041,270	2,516,905
Noncapital Financing Activities		
Property tax revenue	1,941,135	1,823,473
Highlands Sewer Project revenue	218,522	220,010
Net cash provided (used)	2,159,657	2,043,483
Capital and Related Financing Activities		
Connection fees	29,897	4,351
Purchase of property and equipment	(4,588,908)	(6,983,344)
Reimbursements for capital projects	1,598,157	2,647,144
Interest and fiscal expenses	(68,906)	(79,329)
Principal paid on revenue bonds	(145,000)	(140,000)
Other cash revenue	74,133	30,930
Net cash provided (used)	(3,100,627)	(4,520,248)
Investing Activities		
Investment earnings (loss)	390,633	199,913
Net cash provided (used)	390,633	199,913
Increase (decrease) in cash	2,490,933	240,053
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning	24,578,189	24,338,136
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$ 27,069,122	\$ 24,578,189

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Statements of Cash Flows Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

Page 2 of 2

Operating Activities Analysis	Name of State of Stat	2018	comparative urposes only 2017
Operating Income (Loss)	\$	873,635	\$ 522,606
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided (used) by operating activities:			
Add depreciation, a noncash expense		2,414,401	2,428,485
Changes in current assets and liabilities:		-	-
(increase) decrease in trade receivables		(25,885)	27,085
(increase) decrease in affiliate receivables		710,785	(436,443)
(increase) decrease in other expenses		-	(39,807)
increase (decrease) in payables		(1,060,377)	213,943
increase (decrease) in compensated absences		20,642	(12,968)
increase (decrease) in deferrals		108,069	(185,996)
Net cash provided (used)	\$	3,041,270	\$ 2,516,905

#### **CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT**

#### Notes to Financial Statements June 30, 2018

The notes to the financial statements include a summary of significant accounting policies and other notes considered essential to fully disclose and fairly present the transactions and financial position of the District as follows:

- Note 1 Defining the Reporting Entity
- Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies
- Note 3 CAWD/PBCSD Reclamation Project
- Note 4 Cash and Investments
- Note 5 Receivables
- Note 6 Capital Assets
- Note 7 Payables
- Note 8 Deferred Compensation Plan
- Note 9 Long-term Obligations
- Note 10 Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions
- Note 11 Single Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan
- Note 12 Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan
- Note 13 Risk Management
- Note 14 Subsequent Events
- Note 15 New Pronouncements

#### Note 1 - Defining the Reporting Entity

#### Reporting Entity

The Carmel Area Wastewater District (the District), organized July 8, 1908, is governed under the provisions of the Sanitary District Act of 1923, Health and Safety Code, Section 6400 et seq., as amended. The function of the District is to provide, operate and maintain sewage collection, treatment, and disposal facilities for the properties included within its boundaries and for certain contracted adjacent properties, and supply reclaimed water to the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District.

The District's reporting entity includes all significant operation and revenue sources as determined under the criteria established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). Oversight responsibility is determined on the basis of selection of the governing board, designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations, accountability for fiscal matters, and the scope of public service. The District is exempt from federal income and state franchise taxes.

In March 1969, the District entered into an agreement with the Pebble Beach Community Services District (PBCSD) for treatment and disposal of sewage service delivered by PBCSD. It was anticipated that the contract would account for approximately one third of the capacity of the District's treatment plant. PBCSD began delivering sewage to the District in fiscal year 1970-71, and reimburses the District for one third of its operating treatment and disposal expenses plus a portion of general and administrative expenses at an agreed upon annual fee for this service. The agreement has been modified several times over the years, and currently operates under the 1994 amended agreement.

Under the same agreement, PBCSD additionally reimburses the District for one-third the cost of capital assets purchased or constructed for sewage treatment and disposal. Carmel Area Wastewater District maintains sole ownership of the wastewater treatment plant and related capital assets. PBCSD has only a contractual "right to use" one-third of the sewage treatment plant's capacity under the terms of the most recent modified 1994 agreement and has no rights to ownership of the District's capital assets. Accordingly, capital assets are recorded by the District at 100 percent of their historic cost or contributed value, and any expense reimbursements received from PBCSD or other affiliates are recorded as revenue to the District.

Intact sewage systems constructed by real estate developers are completed at no cost to the District. These systems, when formally accepted by District engineers and the Board of Directors, are recorded as revenue to the District.

#### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Basis of Presentation

The Carmel Area Wastewater District's financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to governmental entities. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The financial transactions of the District are recorded in a Proprietary Fund type.

*Proprietary Funds* are used to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises where the expenses, including depreciation, of providing goods of services to the general public are recovered through user charges.

Enterprise Funds are used to account for operations (1) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises - where the intent of the governing body is that costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges; or (2) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenue earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management

control, accountability, or other purposes. The District is such an enterprise fund.

The basic financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly all assets and liabilities (whether current or noncurrent) are included in the statement of net position. The statement of revenue, expenses, and change in net position presents increases (revenue) and decreases (expenses) in total net position. Capital contributions of property and equipment are reported as a separate line item in the statements of revenue, expenses, and change in net position.

#### Other Agencies

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United State of America require that the reporting entity include (1) the primary government, (2) organizations for which the primary government is financially accountable, and (3) other organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause that reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. The criteria provided in GASB Statement No. 14 have been considered and there are no agencies or entities, which should be presented with the District.

#### Accounts and Records

Records of the District are maintained at its office in Carmel, California. These records include cash receipts and disbursements journals, a general ledger, complete minutes of the Board of Directors meetings, Resolutions, Ordinances and files of supporting documents. Investment funds of the District are on deposit with the Monterey County Treasurer's investment pool.

#### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

A budget of projected cash receipts and disbursements is prepared to meet the requirements of the Monterey County Auditor and for internal use by the Board of Directors. The budget is used to provide financial guidance to the District and to determine the amount of funds required from user fees and other sources. The only material difference between the budgetary basis method, and the accounting principles generally accepted in the United State of America (GAAP) method, is depreciation expense and the principal portion of debt service payments.

#### OTHER SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES ARE:

#### Basis of Accounting

The accounting methods and procedures adopted by the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United State of America as applied to governmental enterprises funds. These financial statements are presented in accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments*. This Statement provides for; recognition of contributions in the Statement of Revenue, Expenses, and Change in Net Position; inclusion of a Management Discussion and Analysis as required supplementary information; and other disclosure changes.

#### Cash and Investments

The District maintains an account with the Monterey County Investment Pool, which essentially operates as a demand deposit account. Available cash balances are managed and controlled by the Monterey County Treasurer in pooled investment funds in order to provide safety, liquidity, and high investment returns for all funds. Earnings from these funds are credited to the District's account on a quarterly basis. Assessments in excess of estimated current bond requirements of the District are deposited in the Monterey County account which earns interest based on the fund's prorata share of the cash in the investment fund. The District also maintains financial institution bank accounts for operations and payroll that are FDIC insured up to \$250,000 per individual financial institution.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

The District considers all highly liquid assets purchased with an original term to maturity of ninety days or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents are reported as "cash and investments" on the financial statement.

#### Restricted Assets

Certain cash and investments of the District are classified as restricted because their uses are limited by commitments made by the District with participants for the design and construction of Wastewater Treatment Plan facilities or as restricted by bond covenants. When an expense is incurred for purposes for which there are both restricted and unrestricted cash assets available, restricted cash is used first, then unrestricted cash as it is needed.

#### Investment Policy

The District has not adopted an investment policy but generally follows the Monterey County Treasurer's investment policy guidelines which allow investments in any security authorized by Section 53635 of the Government Code of the State of California, and any other Government Code that permits investments in various securities, or participation in investment trading techniques or strategies.

#### Accounts Receivable

Account receivables considered uncollectible are accounted for using the allowance method. The allowance for doubtful accounts was \$-0- at June 30, 2018. All annual user fee customer invoices are added to the County assessed property tax invoices, mailed to property owners annually, collected by the County Assessor where the proceeds are deposited into the District's investment account with the County Treasurer.

#### Property, Plant & Equipment

Capital assets are accounted for at historical cost or estimated cost. In accordance with the District's capital assets policy, minor expenditures under \$10,000 for renewals and betterments are charged to expense as incurred. Major expenditures for renewals and betterments are capitalized. In cases where assets are donated to the District, construction costs or estimated market values are recorded on the date received. Costs of assets sold, retired or otherwise disposed of have been eliminated from the accounts, and gains or losses on disposition are included in the applicable year's financial statement. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs, that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend lives, are also expensed in the current period.

The cost of property and equipment is depreciated from the date of acquisition, using the straight-line method of depreciation over their estimated useful lives, as follows:

Asset Category	Useful Lives
Treatment Plant - structures	30-40 years
Treatment Plant - equipment	12-15 years
Collections - office	40 years
Collections - pump stations	40 years
Disposal Facilities	20-50 years
Sewer and Appurtenances	25-75 years
Other Assets	25-75 years

#### Vacation, Sick Leave, and Other Compensated Absences

The District has recorded an accrual for compensated absences in accordance with the District's policy of paying for unused vacation and sick leave of employees. The District's method of calculating the liability is in accordance with GASB Statement No. 16, except that the additional accruals for salary-related payments associated with the payment of compensation absences, for example the employer's share of pension contributions, social security and medicare taxes, have not been accrued as that amount is not considered significant or material to the financial statements taken as a whole. Compensated vacation and sick leave absences are recorded as expenditures when they are paid. Unpaid vacation and sick leave at year-end is recorded as an expense and a liability when earned by employees. These unpaid amounts will be paid from available resources provided for in future year budgets and are classified as current or noncurrent, based upon expected payment dates.

#### **Long-term Obligations**

Long-term debt and obligations are reported as liabilities on the statement of net position either as current if payments are to be made within 12 months of the fiscal year-end, otherwise as noncurrent.

#### Pension Funding Obligations

Defined benefit pension plan (overfunded) or underfunded net liabilities are recognized and disclosed using the accrual basis of accounting.

In general, the District recognizes a net pension liability, which represents the District's proportionate share of the excess of the total pension liability over the fiduciary net position of the pension reflected in the actuarial report provided by the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS). The net pension liability is measured as of the District's prior fiscal year-end. Changes in the net pension liability are recorded, in the period incurred, as pension expense or as deferred inflows of resources or deferred outflows of resources depending on the nature of the change. The changes in net pension liability that are recorded as deferred inflows of resources or deferred outflows of resources (that arise from changes in actuarial assumptions or other inputs and differences between expected or actual experience) are amortized over the weighted average remaining service life of all participants in the respective pension plan and are recorded as a component of pension expense beginning with the period in which they are incurred.

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows or resources relating to pensions and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's pension plan with CalPERS and additions to/deductions from the plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefits terms. Investments are reported at fair value. Projected earnings on pension investments are recognized as a component of pension expense. Differences between projected and actual investment earnings are reported as deferred inflows of resources or deferred outflows of resources and amortized as a component of pension expense on a closed basis over a five-year period beginning with the period in which the difference occurred. Each subsequent year will incorporate an additional closed basis five-year period of recognition.

#### **Net Position**

The business-type activities financial statements utilize a net position presentation. Net position represents the difference between assets plus deferred outflow of resources, as compared to liabilities plus deferred inflow of resources, and are displayed in the following three components:

- Net Investment in Capital Assets this component groups all capital assets, reduced by accumulated depreciation, and the outstanding balances of debt that are attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of the assets.
- Restricted Net Position this component represents net position that is subject to constraints either (1) externally imposed by creditors (such as debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- Unrestricted Net Position this component represents net position of the District, not restricted for any
  construction project or other purpose.

When both restricted and unrestricted net position is available, restricted resources are used first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

#### Operating and Nonoperating Revenue

Operating revenue and expenses consist of revenue that results from the ongoing principal operations of the District. Operating revenue consists primarily of charges for services. Nonoperating revenue results from non-exchange transactions, ancillary activities or subsidies, and investment earnings.

#### **Property Taxes**

Property taxes in the State of California are administered for all local agencies at the County level and consist of secured, unsecured and utility tax rolls. The following is a summary of major policies and practices relating to property taxes:

- Property Valuations are established by the Assessor of Monterey County for the secured and unsecured property tax rolls; the utility property tax roll is valued by the California State Board of Equalization. Under the provisions of Article XIIIA of the State Constitution (Proposition 13), properties are assessed at 100% of full value. From this base of assessment, subsequent annual increases in valuation are limited to a maximum of 2%. However, increase to full value is allowed for property improvements or upon change in ownership. Personal property is excluded from these limitations and is subject to annual reappraisal.
- > Tax Levies are limited to 1% of full assessed value which results in a tax rate of \$1.00 per \$100 assessed valuation under the provisions of Proposition 13. Tax rates for voter-approved indebtedness are excluded from this limitation.
- > Tax Levy Dates are attached annually on January 1, preceding the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. The fiscal year begins July 1 and ends June 30 of the following year. Taxes are levied on both real and unsecured personal property. Liens against real estate, as well as the tax on personal property, are not relieved by subsequent renewal or change in ownership.
- > Tax Collections are the responsibility of the Monterey County's tax collector. Taxes and assessments on secured and utility rolls, which constitute a lien against the property, may be paid in two installments: The first is due on November 1 of the fiscal year and is delinquent if not paid by December 10; and the second is due on March 1 of the fiscal year and is delinquent if not paid by April 10. Unsecured personal property taxes do not constitute a lien against property unless the taxes become delinquent. Payment must be made in one installment, which is delinquent if not paid by August 31 of the fiscal year. Significant penalties are imposed by the County for late payments.
- > Tax Levy Apportionments due to the nature of the County-wide maximum levy, it is not possible to identify general-purpose tax rates for specific entities such as the District. Under State legislation adopted subsequent to the passage of Proposition 13, apportionments to local agencies are made by each County auditor-controller based primarily on the ratio that each agency represented of the total County-wide levy for the three fiscal years prior to fiscal year 1979.
- ➤ Property Tax Administration Fees the State of California fiscal year 1990-91 Budget Act authorized Counties to collect an administrative fee for its collection and distribution of property taxes.
- Monterey County bills and collects property taxes and user fees for the District in addition to its own property taxes.

#### Use of Estimates

Preparing the District's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Note 3 - CAWD/PBCSD Reclamation Project

The CAWD/PBCSD Reclamation Project (the Project) is a "cooperative effort" involving the Carmel Area Wastewater District (CAWD), the Pebble Beach Community Service District (PBCSD), the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD), the Pebble Beach Company (PBCo), and the Independent Reclaimed Water Users Group (IRWUG). This cooperative effort did not create a new or separate legal entity. The Project is accounted for as a Proprietary (Enterprise) Fund in the books of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, the issuer of the Certificates of Participation, which originally financed the Project. The activities of the Project are overseen by a six member management committee containing two representatives from the CAWD board, two from the PBCSD board, one from the PBCo board, and one from the Independent Reclaimed Water Users Group (IRWUG).

The Project provides treated or reclaimed wastewater to irrigate golf courses and open space areas within the community of Pebble Beach, which frees up potable water previously used for irrigation. The Project operates on the site of CAWD's existing wastewater treatment plant. The Project includes: a tertiary treatment plant, laboratory facilities, a wastewater distribution system, a storage tank used to distribute the treated wastewater to the receptor sites in Pebble Beach, and irrigation system improvements. Recent improvements include a Microfiltration/Reverse Osmosis facility at the CAWD plant, and a reservoir increase capacity project to hold additional reclaimed water at the PBCSD reservoir. The tertiary treatment plant produces water which meets Title 22 standards specified by the California Department of Health Services, which is a quality acceptable for irrigation.

#### Phase 1

The Project was initially financed in December 1992 by Certificates of Participation (COP) in the amount of \$33,900,000 which were executed and delivered at the direction of the MPWMD. Per the COP issuance agreement, the MPWMD agreed to provide the funds necessary to construct and operate the Project with contractual provisions to own the reclaimed water for the express purpose of resale of this water primarily to golf courses located within the Pebble Beach Community to reduce their reliance upon potable water. The PBCo guaranteed payment of construction costs of the Project, plus any operating deficiencies.

Any debt obligations incurred by the MPWMD to finance the Project constitutes limited obligations of MPWMD. These limited obligations are payable solely from the net operating revenue generated by the sale of reclaimed water produced by the Project and, if such reclaimed water revenue is insufficient, from payments on a Bond Letter of Credit, provided by Bank of America (the credit bank), through a reimbursement agreement between PBCo and the credit bank.

Phase 1 Construction of the Project began in January 1993, and was completed in October 1994. The Project assets are owned principally by CAWD and PBCSD, and consist primarily of the following:

#### Assets owned by CAWD include the following:

- new tertiary treatment plant
- · secondary process improvements
- new laboratory facilities
- reclaimed water pump station
- related computer equipment
- · small portion of the reclaimed water pipeline

#### Assets owned by PBCSD include the following:

- approximately seven miles of reclaimed water distribution system pipelines
- 2.5 million gallon storage tank
- portable water pump station
- reclaimed water booster pump station

#### Phase 2

In 2004, the Carmel Area Wastewater District, the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, the Pebble Beach Community Services District, and the Pebble Beach Company approved agreements that enabled a significant expansion of the Project's operations known as Phase 2. The goal of the expansion was to enable the Pebble Beach golf courses to be fully dependent on recycled water, thus saving a significant amount of potable water. The expansion was partially funded from the sales of Pebble Beach Company's legal water entitlement agreements to Del Monte Forest residential property owners, currently available at \$250,000 per acre foot. Approximately \$29 million has been raised through these sales and investment earnings, with the proceeds deposited in a restricted construction escrow account.

The agreements commit the Pebble Beach Company and the Independent Reclaimed Water Users (the unincorporated association of the owners of Cypress Point Golf Club, Poppy Hills Golf Course, and the Monterey Peninsula Country Club) to use and pay for recycled water for irrigation, and make provisions for the beneficial use of any surplus recycled water.

The Project began construction on the Forest Lake Reservoir in 2004 with completion in 2006. Total cost of the reservoir component of the expanded project was approximately \$13 million. Upon completion of the reservoir, PBCSD began the application process with the California Division of Safety of Dams to increase the permitted capacity from 320 acre feet to 370 acre feet. Design began in 2006 for the micro-filtration/reverse osmosis (MF/RO) treatment component, along with implementation of a pilot project at Carmel Area Wastewater District's treatment facility. Construction commenced in October 2006 on the MF/RO plant. At June 30, 2009 the entire construction project was complete. Total cost of the MF/RO portion was approximately \$21.5 million. The combined improvements are expected to solve water quality issues experienced by the golf courses and should eliminate their use of potable water except in case of emergency. Year-round production is now possible with the Forest Lake Reservoir providing *peak demand* requirements.

#### Note 4 - Cash and Investments

Cash and investments at fiscal year-end are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Statement of Net Position		
Cash and investments	_\$	27,069,122
Cash and investments are comprised of the following:		
Deposits with financial institutions:		
Checking accounts	\$	377,910
State investment pool		1,131,938
Monterey County investment pool		25,559,274
	\$	27,069,122

#### Participation in an external County Investment Pool

The District is a voluntary participant in the Monterey County Investment Pool. Funds in the Pool essentially operate as demand deposit accounts. Available cash balances are controlled and invested by the County Treasurer in pooled investment funds in order to provide safety, liquidity and investment returns for all funds. Interest earnings from these funds are credited to the District's account on a quarterly basis. The Monterey County Treasurer's Investment policy is in compliance with Section 53635 of the Government Code of the State of California, which permits investments in certain securities and participation in certain investment trading techniques or strategies. Annual reports of the investment pool may be obtained from the Monterey County Treasurer, Post Office Box 390, Salinas, California 93902.

#### Participation in an external State Investment Pool

The District is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). LAIF, established in 1977, is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 and under the day to day administration of the California State Treasurer. There is a five member Local Investment Advisory Board that is chaired by the State Treasurer. LAIF determines fair value of its investment portfolio based on market quotations for those securities where market quotations are readily available, and on amortized cost or best estimate for those securities where market value is not readily available. LAIF is part of the Pooled Money Investment Account (PMIA) and under the control of the State Treasurer's Office, which is audited by the Bureau of State Audits on an annual basis. As of June 30, 2018, PMIA had approximately \$88.8 billion in investments. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis. Audited financial statements of PMIA may be obtained from the California State Treasurer's web site at www.treasurer.ca.gov.

#### Investments Authorized by the Project's Investment Policy

The District does not have a specific investment policy but generally follows the guidelines of Monterey County's Investment Policy. All funds invested are managed to meet the guidelines stated in both California Code Section 53600, et. seq. and the County's investment policy. The following County Investment Pool guidelines and directives are generally followed by District management:

- The legal, final maturity of any single security within the investment portfolio will not exceed five years at purchase.
- The weighted average life of the portfolio will not exceed two years.
- The maximum maturity of investments in a money market pool shall not exceed 397 days, and the weighted maturity average maturity of the pool shall not exceed 90 days. A money market pool shall not exceed 10% of the portfolio's book value on the date investments are made; maximum investment in all money market pools shall not exceed 20% of the portfolio's book value on the date that investments are made.

#### Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the maturity date and yield of each investment:

#### Maturity Date and Yield

Monterey County investment pool	\$ 25,559,274	7 months average maturity, 1.63% yield
State investment pool	\$ 1,131,938	6 months average maturity, 1.85% yield

#### Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The County Pool does not have a rating provided by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

The investment policy of the District contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. At year end, 94.5% of the District's cash was invested with the Monterey County Investment Pool.

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for *deposits* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The California Government Code does not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits, other than the following provision for deposits: the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure District deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits. At year end the District had \$153,166 in bank financial institutions that was not covered by the FDIC, but was covered by collateralized securities of the bank financial institutions where the deposits were maintained.

The custodial credit risk for *investments* is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g., broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the County's investment policy do not contain legal or policy requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for investments. With respect to investments, custodial credit risk generally applies only to direct investments in marketable securities. Custodial credit risk does not apply to a local government's indirect investment in securities through the use of mutual funds or government investment pools.

#### Note 5 - Receivables

Receivables at year end consist of the following:

User fees - customers	\$	58,220
User fees - State Park		74,889
Total accounts receivable	_\$	133,109
	-	
Reclamation Project	\$	137,760
Pebble Beach CSD		464,246
Total receivables from affiliates	\$	602,006

Note 6 - Capital Assets

Capital assets activity for the year consists of the following:

	Beginning Balance	Additions/ Retirements/ Completions Adjustments		Ending Balance
Non-depreciable Assets				
Land and land rights	\$ 308,060	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 308,060
Construction-in-progress	15,560,884	4,053,424		19,614,308
totals	15,868,944	4,053,424	·	19,922,368
<u>Depreciable Assets</u>				
Treatment structures	51,546,420	<b>=</b> 0	-	51,546,420
Treatment equipment	7,302,072	<u>=</u> 7	(60,042)	7,242,030
Collection pump stations	1,040,749	=	Œ	1,040,749
Collection pump equipment	910,633	22,474	1.=	933,107
Sewers and appurtenances	10,675,004	343,757	-	11,018,761
Disposal facilities	1,126,273	=		1,126,273
Other assets	3,802,009	23,875		3,825,884
totals	76,403,160	390,106	(60,042)	76,733,224
Accumulated Depreciation	(44,108,995)	(2,414,401)_	205,420	(46,317,976)
Net Capital Assets	\$48,163,109	\$ 2,029,129	\$ 145,378	\$50,337,616

In the statement of revenue, expenses, and change in net position, total depreciation expense for the year was charged to the following departments:

Sewage collection	\$ 341,643
Sewage treatment and disposal	2,035,960
General and administrative	 36,798
	\$ 2,414,401

#### Note 7 - Payables

Payables at year end consist of the following:

Construction, engineering and consultants	\$	305,692
Legal and accounting		21,598
Other vendors	900	39,396
	\$	366,686

#### Note 8 - Deferred Compensation Plan

The District maintains a deferred compensation plan for its eligible employees wherein amounts earned by the employees are paid at a future date. All full-time, regular, salaried employees are permitted to participate in the plan beginning on the first day of the month following their hire date. The employee may elect to make contributions up to the limits established by the Internal Revenue Service for this type of plan, and becomes 100% vested from the first date of participation. Nationwide Retirement Solutions, Inc., and the Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company, both administer the plan, which is in conformity with Section 457 of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### Note 9 - Long-term Obligations

Long-term debt and obligation activity for the year was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending	Due Within
	Balance	Additions	Reductions	Balance	One Year
Revenue bonds	\$ 1,385,000	\$ -	\$ (145,000)	\$ 1,240,000	\$ 150,000
Compensated absences	170,021	7,674		177,695	44,424
Single employer net pension					
liability (overfunded)	(655,167)	-	-	(655,167)	
CalPERS net pension					
liability (overfunded)	285,127	162,913		448,040	
	\$ 1,184,981	\$ 170,587	\$ (145,000)	\$ 1,210,568	

#### Compensated Absences

The accrued compensated absences amounts will be paid from available resources and are classified as current or noncurrent, based upon expected payment dates.

#### Revenue Bonds

In 2004, the District issued \$3,000,000 in *California Statewide Communities Development Authority Water and Wastewater Revenue Series 2004-A Bonds* through a pooled financing program for the design, planning, and construction of sewer facilities for certain properties located within the Carmel Highlands area. The District has signed agreements with (1) the Highlands Inn, (2) the Highlands Sanitary Association (which is comprised of eleven individual owners as members) and (3) the Tickle Pink Inn, to be reimbursed through sewer user fees for all the annual costs associated with the bonds including, but not necessarily limited to, installment payments of principal and interest on the bonds, debt service or administrative fees, and costs or obligations for which the District is obligated to make under the terms of the bond agreement. The sewer user fees and reimbursable costs generated from the customers in the Carmel Highlands area are assessed and included on the Monterey County secured property tax rolls, and submitted by the District annually, as is the same payment program with all District wastewater customers. Principal on the bonds is payable annually beginning October 1, 2004. Interest is payable semi-annually on October 1 and April 1, beginning October 1, 2004.

The interest rates on the bonds range from 1.5% to 5.25%; the bonds mature on October 1, 2024. The bond agreement contains a covenant requiring the District to yield "system net revenues" during each fiscal year equal to a least 120% of the annual debt service in the fiscal year. The covenant has been met.

Future annual principal and interest requirements are as follows:

	Revenue Bonds, Series 2004-A					
Year Ending June 30,	Principal		Interest			Total
2019	\$ 150,000		\$	61,163		\$ 211,163
2020	160,000			53,025		213,025
2021	170,000		44,363			214,363
2022	175,000			35,306		210,306
2023	185,000			25,856		210,856
2024-2025	400,000			21,263		421,263
totals	\$ 1,240,000		\$	240,976		\$ 1,480,976

#### Note 10 - Postemployment Benefits Other than Pensions

#### Plan Description

In September 2002, the District established a postemployment health plan (PEHP) to meet its employees' postemployment health care needs and expenses. Under the PEHP plan, Nationwide Retirement Solutions Company (NRS) provides administrative services in exchange for a fee as agreed upon by the District and NRS. The plan does not establish a long-term liability of the District. All employees are permitted to participate in the plan beginning on the first day of the month following their hire date. The District contributes 1% of an employee's salary to the plan on a periodic basis, on behalf of each eligible employee who is a plan participant. Amounts contributed are segregated to either one or both of the following sub-accounts for: (1) reimbursements of qualifying medical care expenses not paid by insurance or (2) reimbursement of health care insurance premiums. Contributions may not vary among eligible employees to fund the qualifying medical care expense sub-account and will be made as an equal dollar amount for each employee. Contributions to fund health care insurance premium sub-accounts may be made as an equal dollar amount or as a percentage of salary, but such percent or dollar amount must apply to all eligible employees. For the year ended June 30, 2018 the District contributed \$23,237 to the plan.

#### Note 11 - Single Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan

#### A. General Information about the Plan

#### Plan Description

The District placed a contribution freeze on its Strategic Asset Management single employer defined benefit pension plan effective October 29, 2005, and adopted a new retirement plan with the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), an agent multiple-employer public employee defined benefit pension plan. Employees no longer accrue service credits under the single employer defined benefit plan, and the plan does not accept new employees. However, the District will continue funding this current plan to cover prior service, and the current plan will be paid out as eligible employees (those hired prior to October 29, 2005) leave District employment. The District is the Plan Administrator. Additional information concerning this Single Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan can be obtained from the District's principal accountant.

#### Benefits Provided

The District maintains this single employer defined benefit pension plan that covers all full time employees as they become eligible for participation before October 29, 2005. An employee became eligible after six months of service and attaining the age of 20.5. The amounts of pension benefits are based on length of services and compensation.

Premiums are paid into a trust, which is administered by LPL Financial (Linsco/Private Ledger). The District makes all required payments on behalf of its eligible employees.

The plan provides for a fixed benefit payment upon reaching retirement at age 62 or after five years of participation, if later. The retirement benefit is 2.5% of monthly compensation times years of services at the termination date. An employee's pre-retirement death benefit is equal to the present value of their accrued benefit. Effective July 1, 2001, the plan was amended for *participants*, defined as any employee who has completed one or more years of service, to vest under the following schedule:

Years of Service	<u>Vesting</u>
0-2	0%
3	20%
4	40%
5	60%
6	80%
7 or more years	100%

#### Contributions

The District's funding policy is to provide for employer contributions on a monthly basis. Contributions are actuarially determined under the aggregate actuarial cost method and are designed to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Although service credit to the plan has stopped, employees will only be able to draw their pension account upon separation from District employment. The existing plan is closed to any new employees hired after October 29, 2005. Employer contributions to the plan for the year ended June 30, 2018 were \$78,936 and were made in accordance with the contribution requirements determined by actuarial valuation of the plan year beginning July 1, 2014.

### B. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2017, the District reported a Net Pension Liability as follows:

Total pension liability	\$ 4,763,226
Fiduciary net position	5,418,393
Net pension liability (overfunded)	(655, 167)

At June 30, 2017 (measurement date), the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to this pension plan from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows		Deferred Inflows	
	of Resources		of Resources	
Changes of assumptions	\$	-	\$	509,859
Net difference between projected and actual				
earnings on pension plan investments		183,898		-2
Differences between expected and actual experience		91,752		-
Totals	\$	275,650	\$	509,859

Amounts reported as deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense in the years ending June 30, 2017 and thereafter as follows:

Year Ended	
June 30,	
2018	\$ (67,528)
2019	(67,527)
2020	(65,532)
2021	(35, 159)
2022	1,537
thereafter	-
	\$ (234,209)

#### C. Actuarial Assumptions

Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of July 1, 12 months prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported. Methods and assumption used to determine contribution rates are as follows:

Valuation date	July 1, 2014
Measurement date	June 30, 2017
Actuarial cost method	Entry age
Amortization method	5 years
Remaining amortization method	3-5 years
Inflation	2.00%
Salary increases	3.50%
Investment Rate of Return, net	6.00%
Retirement age, with 5 years participation	62
Mortality	RP 2014 tables

#### D. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.00% which is the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments net of pension plan administrative expense, including inflation.

#### E. Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 6.0%, as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower or 1 percentage point higher than the current rate:

	Disco	Discount Rate -1% 5%		Current DiscountRate 6.0 %		Discount Rate +1% 7%	
Net Pension Liability	\$	(399,348)	\$	(655,167)	\$	(1,312,166)	

#### Note 12 - Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan

#### A. General Information about the Plan

#### Plan Description

Starting October 29, 2005, the District provides pension benefits to eligible employees through a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS). CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. CalPERS acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public entities within the State of California. Benefit provisions and all other requirements are established by State statute and District ordinance. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

#### Benefits Provided

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50-55 with statutorily reduced benefits. Death benefits are the pre-retirement Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit, and the post-retirement death benefit lump sum. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees Retirement Law.

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2018, are summarized as follows:

	Miscellaneous Plan		
	Prior to	On or after	
Hire date	January 1, 2013	January 1, 2013	
Benefit formula	2% @ 60	2% @ 62	
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years svc	5 year svc	
Benefit payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	
Retirement age	60	62	
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	2.0%	2.0%	
Required employee contribution rates	7.000%	6.250%	
Required employer contribution rates	7.200%	6.533%	

#### Contributions

The District makes partial contributions required of District employees on their behalf and for their account depending upon date of hire. Employee members are required to make contributions of their annual covered salary in an amount depending upon date of hire. The contribution requirements of plan are established and may be amended by CalPERS. Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for the Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. For the year ended June 30, 2018, employer pension plan contributions were \$165,214.

### B. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2018, the District reported a net pension liability for its Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability of the CalPERS Public Agency Cost-Sharing Plan as follows:

Total pension liability	\$ 5,436,016
Fiduciary net position	4,987,976
Net pension liability	448,040

The District's net pension liability for its Plan with CalPERS is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of the Plan is measured as of June 30, 2017 (measurement date), and the total pension liability for the Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2016 rolled forward to June 30, 2017 using standard update procedures.

The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined.

The District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to this pension plan from the following sources:

	Deferred		Deferred	
	0	Outflows of		nflows of
	R	Resources		esources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	1,828	\$	26,192
Changes of assumptions		226,833		17,296
Net difference between projected and actual				
earnings on pension plan investments		51,300		20
Change in employer's proportion		108,622		114,337
Differences between employer's contributions and the				
proportionate share of contributions		121,512		39,500
Pension contributions subsequent to the measurement date		165,214		=
Totals	\$	675,309		197,325

Recognition of Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources in Future Pension Expense as follows:

_	Year Ending June 30:	
	2019	\$ 89,192
	2020	157,657
	2021	96,379
	2022	(30,458)

#### C. Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability for this plan's actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

	Miscellaneous Plan
Valuation Date	June 30, 2016
Measurement Date	June 30, 2017
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Normal Cost
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Discount Rate	7.15%
Inflation	2.75%
Payroll Growth	3.00%
Projected Salary Increase	3.3% - 15.0% (1)
Investment Rate of Return	7.0% (2)

- (1) Depending on age, service, and type of employment
- (2) Net of pension plan investment expenses, including inflation

#### D. Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.15%. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of a discount rate, CalPERS stress tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing, none of the tested plans run out of assets. Therefore, the current 7.0 percent discount rate is adequate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not necessary. The long-term expected discount rate of 7.0 percent will be applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

According to Paragraph 30 of Statement 68, the long-term discount rate should be determined without reduction for pension plan administrative expense. The 7.0 percent investment return assumption used in this accounting valuation is net of administrative expenses. Administrative expenses are assumed to be 15 basis points. An investment return excluding administrative expenses would have been 7.15 percent. Using this lower discount rate has resulted in a slightly higher Total Pension Liability and Net Pension Liability. CalPERS checked the materiality threshold for the difference in calculation and did not find it to be a material difference.

CalPERS is scheduled to review all actuarial assumptions as part of its regular Asset Liability Management (ALM) review cycle that is scheduled to be completed in February 2018. Any changes to the discount rate will require Board action and proper stakeholder outreach. For these reasons, CalPERS expects to continue using a discount rate net of administrative expenses for GASB 67 and 68 calculations through at least the 2018-19 fiscal year, and will continue to check the materiality of the difference in calculation until such time as they change their methodology.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach.

Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each asset class. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

CalPERS adheres to an Asset Allocation Strategy which establishes asset class allocation policy targets and ranges, and manages those asset class allocations within their policy ranges. The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses. The asset allocation has an expected long-term blended rate of return of 7.0 percent.

Asset Class	Allocation
Global Equity	51.00%
Global Fixed Income	20.00%
Inflation Sensitive Assets	6.00%
Private Equity	10.00%
Real Assets	12.00%
Other	0.00%
Liquid Assets	1.00%
	100.00%

#### E. Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.15%, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower or 1 percentage point higher than the current rate:

	Discount Rate						
		6.15% 7.15%		7.15%		8.15%	
	(1% Decrease)		(Current Rate)		(1% Increase)		
Net Pension Liability	\$	1,195,747	\$	448,040	\$	(171,224)	

#### Note 13 - Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets, errors and omissions, injuries to employees, and natural disasters. This policy covers solely the District's property and equipment located at 26900 State Route 1 in Carmel, California, and not property and equipment owned by the Pebble Beach Community Services District or the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District that may be physically located on CAWD property.

The District is a member of the California Sanitation Risk Management Authority (CSRMA), an established public entity risk pool that operates as a common risk management and insurance program for 60 government members. The District pays an annual premium to the pool for its workers' compensation, excess workers compensation, property, and general coverage. Risk of loss is retained for general liability claims. The agreement with the risk pool provides that it will be self-sustaining through member premiums and additional policies purchased from commercial insurance companies for general liability claims, and for excess workers' compensation claims.

The District's share of CSRMA year-end assets, liabilities, and retained earnings has not been calculated by the CSRMA. Financial statements may be obtained from CSRMA, 100 Pine Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, California 94111.

Health Life and Vision Insurance - the District has approved a group health insurance plan, which provides benefits for all qualified employees.

Dental Insurance - the District has elected to self-insure for dental insurance under a reimbursement plan. The amount of the contingent liability, if any, at fiscal year end is not material, however, the District is not aware of any significant claims currently pending.

#### Note 14 - Subsequent Events

The management of the District has reviewed the results of operations for the period from its year end June 30, 2018 through October 19, 2018, the date the draft financial statements were available to be issued, and have determined that no adjustments are necessary to the amounts reported in the accompanying financial statements nor have any subsequent events occurred, the nature of which would require disclosure.

#### Note 15 - New Pronouncements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) has released the following new pronouncements, which can be read in their entirety at http://www.gasb.org.

GASB Statement No. 83, Certain Asset Retirement Obligations

Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2018. Earlier application is encouraged. (Issued 11/16)

GASB Statement No. 84, Fiduciary Activities

Effective Date: The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018. Earlier application is encouraged. (Issued 01/17)

GASB Statement No. 87, Leases

Effective Date: For reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. (Issued 06/17)

### REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

(unaudited)

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Required Supplementary Information Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan (unaudited) As of June 30, 2018

#### Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability

The proportion (percentage) of the collective net pension liability represents the District's share of the cost-sharing plan assets offset against the actuarial determined collective pension liability.

The proportionate share (dollar amount) of the collective net pension liability represents the District's share of the cost-sharing plan assets offset against the actuarial determined collective pension liability.

The employer's covered-employee payroll represents the payroll of employees that are provided with pensions through the plan.

#### Schedule of Contributions

The employer's contributions to the plan is actuarially determined or based on statutory or contractual requirements which comprise the following: (1) the agent employer's actuarially determined contribution to the pension plan (its statutorily/contractually required contribution), (2) the employer's actual contributions, the difference between the actual and actuarially determined contributions (its statutorily/contractually required contributions), and (3) a ratio of the actual contributions divided by covered-employee payroll.

#### **CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT**

# Required Supplementary Information Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan (unaudited) Last 4 Fiscal Years \*

Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of of the Plan's Net Pension Liability	2018	2017	2016	2015
District's proportion of the net pension liability	0.004518%	0.003295%	-0.000481%	0.010110%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 448,040	\$ 285,127	\$ (33,002)	\$ 629,116
District's covered-employee payroll *	\$ 2,177,857	\$ 2,030,331	\$ 2,053,405	\$ 1,868,031
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll	20.57%	14.04%	-1.61%	33.68%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	73.31%	74.06%	78.40%	79.82%
* for the fiscal year ending on the measurement	date			
Schedule of the District's Contributions				
Contractually required employer contribution	\$ 165,214	\$ 152,534	\$ 134,094	\$ 157,952
Contributions in relation to the contractually required employer contribution	165,214	152,534	134,094	718,672
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (560,720)
District's covered-employee payroll **	\$ 2,252,020	\$ 2,177,857	\$ 2,030,331	\$ 2,053,405
Contributions as a percentage of covered- employee payroll	7.34%	7.00%	6.60%	35.00%

\*\* for the fiscal year ending on the date shown

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Required Supplementary Information Single Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan (unaudited) As of June 30, 2018

#### Schedule of Changes in the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios

The beginning and ending balances of the total pension liability, the plan assets available for pension benefits, and the net pension liability, as well as the change in those amounts during the year are presented by cause.

Total pension liability, plan net position, net pension liability, a ratio of plan net position divided by the total pension liability, payroll amount for current employees in the plan (covered-employee payroll), and a ratio of the net pension liability divided by covered-employee payroll.

#### Schedule of Contributions

The employer's contributions to the plan is actuarially determined or based on statutory or contractual requirements which comprise the following: (1) the agent employer's actuarially determined contribution to the pension plan (its statutorily/contractually required contribution), (2) the employer's actual contributions, the difference between the actual and actuarially determined contributions (its statutorily/contractually required contributions), and (3) a ratio of the actual contributions divided by covered-employee payroll.

# CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT Required Supplementary Information Single Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan (unaudited) Last 3 Fiscal Years \*

#### Schedule of Changes in the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios

Total Pension Liability		2017		2016		2015
Service Cost	\$	=	\$	2	\$	-
Interest on total pension liability		271,856		267,034		234,721
Differences between expected and actual experience		16,907		29,560		103,803
Changes of assumptions		-		<del>=</del> 4		(907,311)
Benefit payments, includes refunds		(112,946)		(319,499)		(73,846)
Net change in total pension liability		175,817	0.0	(22,905)		(642,633)
Total pension liability - beginning		4,587,409		4,610,314		5,252,947
Total pension liability - ending	\$	4,763,226	\$	4,587,409	\$	4,610,314
Plan Fiduciary Net Position (plan assets)						
Contributions - employer	\$	88,680	\$	130,672	\$	216,420
Contributions - employee		=		<del>-</del>		_
Net investment income - expected		374,628		(92,216)		227,644
Net investment income - gain/(loss)		=		=		9,974
Benefit payments, includes refunds		(112,946)		(319,499)		-
Administrative expense		(1,763)		(16,821)		(73,846)
Net change in plan fiduciary net position		348,599	(297,864)			380,192
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning		5,069,794	794 5,367,658		4,987,466	
Plan fiduciary net position - ending	\$	5,418,393	\$	5,069,794	\$	5,367,658
Net Pension Liability (overfunded)	\$	(655,167)	\$	(482,385)	\$	(757,344)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pensio	n L	iability				
Covered employee payroll	\$	683,592	\$	759,350	\$	785,681
NPL as percentage of covered employee payroll		-95.80%		-64.00%		-97.00%
Schedule of Contributions						
Actuarially determined contribution	\$	78,940	\$	88,667	\$	130,567
Actual contributions		(88,680)		(130,672)		(216,420)
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$	(9,740)	\$	(42,005)	<u>\$</u>	(85,853)
Covered employee payroll	\$	683,592	\$	759,350	\$	779,176
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll		-12.97%		-17.21%		-27.78%

<sup>\*</sup> Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore only three years are shown.

### OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### CARMEL AREA WASTEWATER DISTRICT

### Budgetary Comparison Information Revenue and Expenses Year Ended June 30, 2018

				\	/ariance
	Fina	1			avorable
	Budg		Actual		nfavorable)
Operating Revenue					
Sewer service fees	\$ 6,99	5,437 \$	7,432,554	\$	437,117
PBCSD treatment fees		0,000	1,236,025		236,025
Reclamation Project O&M reimbursements		9,945	552,629		32,684
Permits and inspection fees		9,000	11,300		2,300
Other operating revenue		_	826		826
Total operating revenue	8,52	4,382	9,233,334	<del>)</del>	708,952
	A			*	
Operating Expenses					
Maintenance - Field	130	6,045	46,070		89,975
Maintenance - Plant	1,34	5,282	1,114,003		231,279
Sewage collection	1,11	5,413	1,059,247		56,166
Sewage treatment and disposal	2,61	3,270	2,200,433		412,837
General and administrative	1,14	6,047	1,002,422		143,625
Reclamation Project O&M expenses	51	9,945	503,169		16,776
Nondepartmental expenses	46	5,000	-		465,000
Unallocated depreciation expense	2,66	1,000	2,414,401		246,599
Other operating expenses			19,954		(19,954)
Total operating expenses	10,00	2,002	8,359,699	0	1,642,303
Operating income (loss)	(1,47	7,620)	873,635		2,351,255
Nonoperating Revenue and (Expenses)					
Property tax revenue	1,73	4,000	1,941,135		207,135
Investment revenue	14	0,000	390,633		250,633
Highlands Sewer Project revenue	210	6,506	218,522		2,016
PBCSD capital reimbursements	1,92	3,083	1,172,715		(750, 368)
Connection fees	18	8,000	29,897		11,897
Reclamation Project capital reimbursements	37	5,478	425,442		49,964
Debt Service - Interest and fiscal expenses	(7	1,506)	(67,003)		4,503
Other non-operating revenue (expenses)	(4	4,860)	74,133		78,993
Total non-operating revenue and (expenses)	4,33	0,701	4,185,474		(145,227)
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,85	3,081 \$	5,059,109	\$	2,206,028
Non-GAAP Reconciling Adjustment					
Debt Service - Principal	(14	5,000)	(145,000)		-
The second secon		8,081 \$	A TOTAL VIOLENCE TO THE VIOLENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	\$	2,206,028

			ň.
			*