Sept/Oct Questions – Director Rachel

Q1, Tab 9, p.27, Check 3396 for $ 19,050. More detail please. Are we going to be paying this fee every year for how long?

That is the annual fee, so yes, we would pay each year. How long? For as long as we utilize the software package.

Q2, Tab 9, p.32, Check 3563 for $94,381. More detail please. This looks like Project 22-01.

Treanor – Yes this is for Project #22-01. There were some AP issues because the consultant changed their billing address recently and we sent payments to the wrong address. They ended up recovering one of the missing checks and cashing it without telling us and so then they had to send us a refund check. In the meantime, we withheld further payments till payment issues were resolved. It was a hassle, but the issues have finally been resolved as of this week and all the accounting balances for the contract are correct now.

Q3, Tab 12, p.76. Lincoln & 9th seems like a recurring problem area. Did we conclude the Pied Piper claim?

Yes, we concluded the Pied Piper claim. In arbitration our carried agreed to an additional $175K to reach final settlement. Total claim is about $800K – one of the largest spill claims CSRMA has ever had. A dubious distinction.

Lincoln & 9th is currently a bit of a problem area the Daryl is keeping very close tabs on. The grease from the restaurants in town travels downhill to this location.

Q4, Tab 16, p. 98. Project 22-01. Can we get periodic (quarterly) updates on SLR including any Greeley & Hansen expenditures? Are we communicating with other agencies on SLR?

Treanor - The Greeley & Hanson report will be presented to the Ad Hoc SLR Committee (D'Ambrosio/Siegfried) in a few months when it is ready. We held an "alignment meeting" with the Ad Hoc SLR Committee and the Consultant this past July to make sure that the project team was in alignment with the Ad Hoc Committee's expectations before we started work. I believe the content and approach presented in that meeting was well received by the Ad Hoc Committee and so we have been working on the study for the last 5 months. I believe the purpose of the Ad Hoc Committee is to oversee the details of the development of the SLR studies in lieu of detailed oversight by the full board. The current study report will be available for review by all board members once it is completed. The board can discuss further in the board meeting if this committee approach should be modified.

I am not aware of any communications with other agencies regarding SLR at this time. It is still early in the planning process.

Q5, Tabs 20 and 27 plus Carmel Meadows and Pescadero Road. At some time in the near future, I would like an overview presentation from Rachel and/or Daryl for the Board on Ejector Pumps (including

Grinder Pumps and Macerators) to include reliability and liability. Excellent idea! We are advocating formation of an Ejector Pump ad hoc committee this meeting.