From: <u>Barbara Buikema</u>
To: <u>Domine Barringer</u>

Subject: FW: CAWD INSTALLED EJECTOR PUMP POLICY Date: Tuesday, February 21, 2023 4:48:28 PM

Please include Mr. Keller's email in the public comments section of Thursday's meeting

From: ken keller <klrfin@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2023 3:02 PM **To:** Barbara Buikema <Buikema@cawd.org> **Cc:** kris.keller <kris.keller@handsforscience.org>

Subject: Re: CAWD INSTALLED EJECTOR PUMP POLICY

This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

One more thing: The proposal refers to a "pumping station." Is this each residence's pump or a shared resource of some kind?

On Tue, Feb 21, 2023 at 2:42 PM ken keller < klrfin@gmail.com > wrote:

Thank you for your hard work for Carmel.

We're members of the Pescadero Neighborhood group. The group forwarded CAWD INSTALLED EJECTOR PUMP POLICY.

Does this mean CAWD is probably voting for per-residence pumps & a new sewer main along Pescadero/Lincoln?

We understand CAWD's desire to avoid canyon work & waterway pollution. However, the uphill pumping scheme seems:

- 1) fragile: No inclusion of a UPS to avoid backups during a power outage.
- 2) disruptive: Ignoring the road work for the new main, every house will require a construction project & allocation of space for a noisy & possibly smelly pump.
- 3) ugly: Allocation of a pad for the pump, UPS, monitor, containment?, etc. In the case of trenching under hardscape, the fresh & existing hardscape will look ugly.
- 4) costly for each homeowner: The policy requires every homeowner to pay a) the electricity bill b) regular maintenance after the 10yrs provided by CAWD -- at least CAWD should arrange a subscription service with a competent provider c) pump & part replacements d) installation of the lateral which could involve substantial hardscape work (we have a huge, shared asphalt driveway & might have issues with our neighbors). What's the estimated cost of 4a-d?

The policy states only human waste & toilet paper are permitted. If garbage disposal, dishwasher,

washer, & bathing output are excluded, how will we collect & dispose of this output?

Has this policy been reviewed by an organization that has built & operated a sewage subsystem like the one CAWD is considering?

Thank you for answering our questions & considering our feedback.

Ken & Kristine Keller 24916 Lincoln St